

Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M}_n for collinear fractals $\mathbf{E}(c, n)$

International Online GSDUAB Seminar 2024

Bernat Espigule

Universitat de Girona (Joint work with my PhD supervisors: David Juher and Joan Saldaña)

bernat@espigule.com

One of the charms of mathematics is that simple rules can generate complex and fascinating patterns, which raise questions whose answers require profound thought.

SHORT STORIES



The Beauty of Roots

John C. Baez, J. Daniel Christensen, and Sam Derbyshire

picture, shown in Figure 1.

reverse order.

questions whose answers require profound thought. For

example, if we plot the roots of all polynomials of degree

23 whose coefficients are all 1 or -1, we get an astounding

More generally, define a Littlewood polynomial to be a

polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i z^i$ with each coefficient a_i equal to 1 or -1. Let \mathbf{X}_n be the set of complex numbers that

are roots of some Littlewood polynomial with n nonzero

terms (and thus degree n - 1). The 4-fold symmetry of

Figure 1 comes from the fact that if $z \in \mathbf{X}_n$ so are -z and \overline{z} .

The set X_n is also invariant under the map $z \mapsto 1/z$, since if z is the root of some Littlewood polynomial then 1/z

is a root of the polynomial with coefficients listed in the

If *n* divides *m* then $X_n \subseteq X_m$, so X_n for a highly divisible

number n can serve as an approximation to \mathbf{X} , and this is

Some general properties of X are understood. It is easy

to show that **X** is contained in the annulus 1/2 < |z| < 2.

On the other hand, Thierry Bousch showed [2] that the

closure of **X** contains the annulus $2^{-1/4} \le |z| \le 2^{1/4}$. This

means that the holes near roots of unity visible in the sets

 X_n must eventually fill in as we take the union over all

It turns out to be easier to study the set

 $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | z \text{ is the root of some} \end{bmatrix}$



Figure 1. Roots of all polynomials of degree 23 whose coefficients are ±1. The brightness shows the number of roots per pixel.

One of the charms of mathematics is that simple rules can generate complex and fascinating patterns, which raise

John C. Baez is a professor of mathematics at UC Riverside. His email address is john haez@ucr.edu

I. Daniel Christensen is a professor of mathematics at the University of Western Ontario. His email address is jdc@uwo.ca.

Sam Derbyshire is a Haskell consultant at Well-Typed. His email address is sam @well-typed.com

For permission to reprint this article, please contact: reprint-permission@ams.org.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1090/noti2789

OCTOBER 2023

NOTICES OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

1495

Littlewood polynomial}.

Short Stories



Figure 2. The region of X₂₄ near the point $z = \frac{1}{2}e^{i/5}$.

n. More surprisingly, Bousch showed in 1993 that the closure $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ is connected and locally path-connected [3]. It is worth comparing the work of Odlyzko and Poonen [7], who previously showed similar result for roots of polynomials whose coefficients are all 0 or 1.

The big challenge is to understand the diverse, complicated and beautiful patterns that appear in different regions of the set X. There are websites that let you explore and zoom into this set online [4, 5, 8]. Different regions raise different questions.

For example, what is creating the fractal patterns in Figure 2 and elsewhere? An anonymous contributor suggested a fascinating line of attack which was further developed by Greg Egan [5]. Define two functions from the complex plane to itself, depending on a complex parameter q:

$f_{+q}(z) = 1 + qz, \qquad f_{-q}(z) = 1 - qz.$

When |q| < 1 these are both contraction mappings, so by a theorem of Hutchinson [6] there is a unique nonempty compact set $D_a \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with

 $D_q = f_{+q}(D_q) \cup f_{-q}(D_q).$

We call this set a dragon, or the q-dragon to be specific. And it seems that for |q| < 1, the portion of the set X in a small neighborhood of the point q tends to look like a rotated version of D_a

Figure 3 shows some examples. To precisely describe what is going on, much less prove it, would take real work. We invite the reader to try. A heuristic explanation is known, which can serve as a starting point [1, 5]. Bousch [3] has also proved this related result:

Theorem. For $q \in \mathbb{C}$ with |q| < 1, we have $q \in \overline{\mathbf{X}}$ if and only if $0 \in D_q$. When this holds, the set D_q is connected.





Figure 3. Top: the set X near q = 0.594 + 0.254i at left, and the set D_q at right. Bottom: the set X near q = 0.375453 + 0.544825iat left, and the set Da at right.

References

NOTICES OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

- [1] J. C. Baez, The beauty of roots. Available at http://math .ucr.edu/home/baez/roots.
- [2] T. Bousch, Paires de similitudes $Z \rightarrow SZ + 1, Z \rightarrow SZ 1$, January 1988. Available at https://www.imo .universite-paris-saclay.fr/~thierry.bousch /preprints/.
- [3] T. Bousch, Connexité locale et par chemins hölderiens pour les systèmes itérés de fonctions, March 1993. Available at https://www.imo.universite-paris -saclay.fr/~thierry.bousch/preprints/.
- [4] J. D. Christensen, Plots of roots of polynomials with integer coefficients. Available at http://jdc.math.uwo.ca /roots/
- [5] G. Egan, Littlewood applet. Available at http://www .gregegan.net/SCIENCE/Littlewood/Littlewood .html
- [6] J. E. Hutchinson, Fractals and self similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981), 713-747. Also available https://maths-people.anu.edu.au/~john /Assets/Research%20Papers/fractals_self -similarity.pdf.
- [7] A. M. Odlyzko and B. Poonen, Zeros of polynomials with 0,1 coefficients, L'Enseignement Math. 39 (1993), 317-348. Also available at http://dx.doi.org/10.5169/seals -60430
- [8] R. Vanderbei, Roots of functions $F(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_j f_j(z)$ where $\alpha_j \in \{-1, 1\}$. Available at https://vanderbei .princeton.edu/WebGL/roots_PlusMinusOne .html

VOLUME 70, NUMBER 9

why we drew X24.

1496



19-23 JUNE

202

Institut de Matemàtica

Centre de Recerca Matemàtica

The EMS Summer School TOPICS IN COMPLEX DYNAMICS 2023

Lukas Geyer (University of Montana) OUASICONFORMAL DEFORMATIONS AND TEICHMÜLLER THEORY

Jasmin Raissy (Université de Bordeaux) INTRODUCTION TO HOLOMORPHIC DYNAMICS IN SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES

·

ORGANIZERS

Jordi Canela (UJI) Kostiantyn Drach (ISTA) Núria Fagella (UB-CRM) Antonio Garijo (URV) Xavier Jarque (UB-CRM)

www.gsd.uab.cat/tcd2023

UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA Complex trees and the internal structure of M_2

Bernat Espigulé

Universitat de Girona

In 1985, Barnsley and Harrington defined a "Mandelbrot Set" M_2 that can be identified with the closure of the set of roots of polynomials with coefficients in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. In 2014 Calegari, Koch and Walker introduced the technique of *traps* in order to prove Bandt's conjecture that the interior points are dense away from the real axis. We now conjecture a much simpler condition to certify interior points of M_2 by exploiting a method based on the underlying complex tree structure of the limit set. Our main result is the hierarchical structure of the interior of M_2 defined by the algebraic curves that partially prove our conjecture.







Perron number distribution



Bill Thurston (1946 - 2012) A *Perron number* is a real algebraic integer λ that is larger than the absolute value of any of its Galois conjugates. The Perron-Frobenius theorem says that any non-negative integer matrix M such that some power of M is strictly positive has a unique positive eigenvector whose eigenvalue is a Perron number. Doug Lind proved the converse: given a Perron number λ , there exists such a matrix, perhaps in dimension much higher than the degree of λ . Perron numbers come up frequently in many places, especially in dynamical systems.

My question:

公

18

What is the limiting distribution of Galois conjugates of Perron numbers λ in some bounded interval, as the degree goes to infinity?

share cite improve this question

edited Jan 11 '11 at 4:04



Should be related to the distribution at math.ucr.edu/home/baez/roots; there are references at that link, I think.
 – Qiaochu Yuan Jan 11 '11 at 4:20

2 @Qiaochu Yuan: Thanks for bringing it up. I actually intended to check out and point to those references, until my question got too long. I was trying to take a slice of things in a way that eliminates the fractal distribution of roots of polynomials with bounded coefficients. My motivation for this question originated in trying understand topological entropy for postcritically finite iterated polynomials, where a Mandelbrot-like distribution comes up that is very related to those exhibited by Baez (and others). – Bill Thurston Jan 11 '11 at 4:41

Thurston's last paper

ENTROPY IN DIMENSION ONE arxiv.org/abs/1402.2008



Bill Thurston (1946 - 2012)



Thurston's last paper

ENTROPY IN DIMENSION ONE arxiv.org/abs/1402.2008



Bill Thurston (1946 - 2012) Frontiers in Complex Dynamics

In Celebration of John Milnor's 80th Birthday

2014

EDITED BY ARACELI BONIFANT, MISHA LYUBICH, & SCOTT SUTHERLAND

Thurston's last paper

ENTROPY IN DIMENSION ONE arxiv.org/abs/1402.2008



Bill Thurston (1946 - 2012)

DANNY CALEGARI and ALDEN WALKER

SARAH KOCH

2014

Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (First published online 2016), page 1 of 69*
 doi:10.1017/etds.2016.17
 © Cambridge University Press, 2016
 *Provisional—final page numbers to be inserted when paper edition is published

Roots, Schottky semigroups, and a proof of Bandt's conjecture

DANNY CALEGARI[†], SARAH KOCH[‡] and ALDEN WALKER[†]

 † Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA (e-mail: dannyc@math.uchicago.edu, akwalker@math.uchicago.edu)
 ‡ Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA (e-mail: kochsc@umich.edu)

(Received 10 January 2015 and accepted in revised form 25 January 2016)

	 war war		<pre>image image i</pre>	 March Martine Mar		result of the second se		<pre>victorial interview i</pre>		Annual and an annual annua annual annual annua annual annual annu	• • • •	and a state of the
 			errer and a second se	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Image: Strategy of the	 Marine Marine Mar		A construction of the second s		 ¹ Participant and a state of the state of	 Barrier and States a
e a constant a const	A subscription of the subs	************************************	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	····································		33	e e e e	Contraction of the second sec			t and the second s	39
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	And the second s	t a state of the s	A subsection of the subsection	 re r	And the second s			 Image: Image: Image Image: Image: Imag	And the second s		Transmission of the second	r and a second sec
				57	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	And a second sec	 mail <li< td=""><td>namena na n</td><td>termination of the second sec</td><td>normality of the second s</td><td>t and the second s</td><td><pre>interview interview i</pre></td></li<>	namena na n	termination of the second sec	normality of the second s	t and the second s	<pre>interview interview i</pre>



$$F = f_1(F) \cup f_2(F)$$



$$c_1 = i/\sqrt{2}$$
 $c_2 = -i/\sqrt{2}$

$$f_1(z) := 1 + c_1 \cdot z$$

$$f_2(z) := 1 + c_2 \cdot z$$

self-similar sets

$$F = f_1(F) \cup f_2(F)$$



$$f_1(z) := 1 + c_1 \cdot z$$

$$f_2(z) := 1 + c_2 \cdot z$$

$$A := \{c_1, c_2\}$$

binary alphabet

$$F := f_1(F) \cup f_2(F)$$

$$c_1 = i/\sqrt{2}$$
 $c_2 = -i/\sqrt{2}$

$$f_1(z) := 1 + c_1(c) \cdot z$$

$$f_2(z) := 1 + c_2(c) \cdot z$$

$$A(c) := \{c_1(c), c_2(c)\}$$

$$c_1(c) := c$$
$$c_2(c) := -c$$

$$c = (1 + i\sqrt{7})/4$$

$$F\{c, -c\}$$

c = (1+i)/2

$$F_A = f_1(F_A) \cup f_2(F_A)$$

$$f_1(z) := 1 + c_1(c) \cdot z$$

$$f_2(z) := 1 + c_2(c) \cdot z$$

$$A(c) := \{c_1(c), c_2(c)\}$$

$$c_1(c) := c$$
$$c_2(c) := -c$$

$$c = (1 + i\sqrt{7})/4$$

$$F\{c, -c\}$$

c = (1+i)/2

 $c = 0.5 + 0.3i^{**}$

$$F_A = f_1(F_A) \cup f_2(F_A)$$



Physica 15D (1985) 421-432 North-Holland, Amsterdam

A MANDELBROT SET FOR PAIRS OF LINEAR MAPS[†]

M.F. BARNSLEY

School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA

and

A.N. HARRINGTON

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loyola University, 6525 N. Sheridan Road, Chicago, Illinois 60626, USA

Received 26 July 1984

The set of points $A(s) = \{\pm 1 \pm s \pm s^2 \pm s^3 \pm ... \text{ for all sequences of } + \text{ and } -\}$, where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and |s| < 1, is generically a fractal. For example A(1/3) is the classical Cantor set and $A(\frac{1}{2} + i/2)$ is a dragon curve. This set of fractals can be classified in terms of an associated Mandelbrot set $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| < 1, A(s) \text{ is disconnected}\}$. The structure of D and its boundary are investigated.

1. Introduction

The discovery [1] of the Mandelbrot set M for the iterated complex polynomial $z^2 - s$ has generated considerable research activity [2, 3], especially because of its relation to cascades of bifurcations and universal phenomena [4]. In this paper, we describe an analogous set associated with linear mappings.

Our motivations are best explained starting from M, and so we characterize the Julia set [5] J(s) for $z^2 - s$. For almost any $s \in \mathbb{C}$, if we start at almost any point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and calculate the limits of all sequences of compositions of the two maps

$$w_{+}(z) = \sqrt{z+s},$$

$$w_{-}(z) = -\sqrt{z+s},$$

then we obtain the attractor

 $J(s) = \{ \pm \sqrt{s} \pm \sqrt{s} \pm \sqrt{s} \pm \sqrt{s} \pm \dots$ for all sequences of + and $- \}.$

†Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-8401609.

0167-2789/85/\$03.30 © Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland Physics Publishing Division)

In the nomenclature of Barnsley and Demko [6], $\{\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}, w_+(z), w_-(z)\}$ is an iterated function system (IFS). J(s) is an attractor for the IFS.

M is the set of parameter values $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that J(s) is connected. Since J(s) is either connected or totally disconnected, M is the complement of the set of parameter values $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that J(s) is disconnected. Parameter space, with M marked on it, is a map of fractals [7] in the sense that each point in the space corresponds to a single Julia set J(s); and knowing where one is on this map provides good information about the structure of the corresponding J(s). The boundary ∂M of M is of special interest; for example, its intersection with the real line gives the parameter values at which bifurcations occur for the iterated real map $x^2 - s$, s real. ∂M appears to contain all of the values of s such that J(s) is a fractal tree (i.e., such that J(s) is connected and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J(s)$ has only one component); it is connected but may not be locally connected [12]; and it represents the set on which J(s) is "just connected." We illustrate the latter statement. For s > 2 J(s) is a Cantor set contained in the real line [8]. However, when s = 2,



Fig. 5. The Mandelbrot set D is approximated in black. See text.

2. Some analysis of A(s) and ∂D

We formalize the notation for points in A(s). Let Ω be the space of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, ...)$ where $\omega_j = +1$ or -1 (abbreviated +, -). Let $g_i: \Omega \to A(s)$ with

$g_s(\omega) = \omega_0 + \omega_1 s + \omega_2 s^2 + \cdots$

When s is fixed we will shorten our notation to g and A. With the usual cylinder set topology on Ω , g: $\Omega \to A$ is continuous [6]. To better understand the geometry of A(s) it is instructive to consider the generation of the set in relation to the fixed points and cycles of T_{\pm} . The fixed points of T_{\pm} are $\pm 1/(1-s)$. One can imagine shrinking the attractor A(s) by a factor s (denoting rescaling by |s| and rotation by $\arg(s)$) about either fixed point. We denote the images by $A_{\pm} = T_{\pm}(A)$ and $A_{\pm} = T_{\pm}(A)$. Then

 $A = A_+ \cup A_-$

Thus, A is generally a fractal, as defined by

$$f_1(z) := 1 + c_1(c) \cdot z$$

$$f_2(z) := 1 + c_2(c) \cdot z$$

$$A(c) := \{c_1(c), \frac{c_2(c)}{2}\}$$

$$c_1(c) := c$$
$$c_2(c) := -c$$

$$c = i/\sqrt{2}$$

$$F\{c, -c\}$$



c = (1+i)/2

 $F_A = f_1(F_A) \cup f_2(F_A)$

$$f_1(z) := 1 + c_1(c) \cdot z$$

$$f_2(z) := 1 + c_2(c) \cdot z$$

$$A(c) := \{c_1(c), c_2(c)\}$$



$$c_1(c) := c$$
$$c_2(c) := c^*$$

$$c = i/\sqrt{2} \qquad c = (i - 1)/2$$

$$F\{c, c^*\} \qquad c := x + iy$$

$$F\{c, -e^*\} \qquad c^* := x - iy$$

$$F_A = f_1(F_A) \cup f_2(F_A)$$



A MANDELBROT SET WHOSE BOUNDARY IS PIECEWISE SMOOTH

M. F. BARNSLEY AND D. P. HARDIN

ABSTRACT. It is proved that the Mandelbrot set associated with the pair of maps $w_{1,2}: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, $w_1(z) = sz + 1$, $w_2(z) = s^*z - 1$, with parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$, is connected and has piecewise smooth boundary.

INTRODUCTION

The discovery [1] of the Mandelbrot set M for the iterated complex polynomial $z^2 + s$ has generated considerable research activity [2, 3], especially because of its relation to cascades of bifurcations and universal phenomena [4].

The Mandelbrot set M consists of those values of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the Julia set J(s) for $z^2 - s$ is connected. Barnsley and Harrington [5] considered an analogous Mandelbrot set D associated with the two affine maps $T_{1,2}: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$T_1(z) = sz + 1$, $T_2(z) = sz - 1$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and |s| < 1. There is a unique nonempty compact set A(s) which is invariant under T_1 and T_2 (i.e., $T_1(A(s)) \cup T_2(A(s) = A(s))$ [5, 6]. Generically, A(s) is a fractal. D is defined to be the set of $s \in \mathbb{C}$, |s| < 1 for which A(s) is disconnected. The boundary of D contains self-similar structures (see Figure 2) and appears to be a fractal. It is not known whether D is connected; however, new pictures of this set presented here indicate that it is.

In this paper we study the Mandelbrot set G associated with the two affine maps $w_{1,2}: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

 $w_1(z) = sz + 1$, $w_2(z) = s^* z - 1$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with |s| < 1. (Here s^* denotes the conjugate of s.) As in the previous case, there is a unique invariant compact set A(s) which is generically a fractal. Despite the apparent similarity between the two pairs of maps, G is easier to analyze than D. We will show among other things that G is connected and, remarkably, has a piecewise smooth boundary. Pictures of the associated fractals as one travels around the boundary of G are given.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 40A99, 51M99.

Research by M. F. Barnsley supported in part by DARPA "Image Compression Project," ONR contract N00014-86-C-0446.

641

©1989 American Mathematical Society 0002-9947/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page



License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

Received by the editors November 23, 1987.



Figure 8.4.1(a) The complement of the Mandelbrot set M₁ associated with the family of *IFS* {**C**; $w_1(z) =$ $\lambda z + 1, w_2(z) = \lambda^* z -$ 1}. Points in the complement of the Mandelbrot set are colored black. The boundary of M_1 is smooth and does not reveal much information about the family of fractals which it represents. The figure also shows attractors of the IFS corresponding to various points on the boundary of M1. What a disappointing map this is!





"What a disappointing map this is! There are no secret bays, jutting peninsulas, nor ragged rocks in the coastline." -Michael F. Barnsley

Figure 8.4.1(a) The complement of the Mandelbrot set M₁ associated with the family of *IFS* {**C**; $w_1(z) =$ $\lambda z + 1$, $w_2(z) = \lambda^* z -$ 1}. Points in the complement of the Mandelbrot set are colored black. The boundary of M_1 is smooth and does not reveal much information about the family of fractals which it represents. The figure also shows attractors of the IFS corresponding to various points on the boundary of M1. What a disappointing map this is!





"What a disappointing map this is! There are no secret bays, jutting peninsulas, nor ragged rocks in the coastline." -Michael F. Barnsley





















 $\{c_1, c_2\} = \{.3 + i.6, .5 - i.3\}$ $F_A = f_1(F_A) \cup f_2(F_A)$

 $f_1(F_A) = 1 + c_1 \cdot F_A$ $f_2(F_A) = 1 + c_2 \cdot F_A$

The tipset is a self-similar set

Definition (punctured open unit disk)

 $\mathbb{D}^* := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1 \}.$

Definition (collinear digit set)

Set of $n \ge 2$ integers evenly spaced from -n + 1 to n - 1, $\mathcal{A}_n := \{-n + 1, -n + 3, \dots, n - 3, n - 1\}.$

Definition (collinear fractal)

Self-similar set parameterized by $c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^*$, $\mathbf{E}(c,n) := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k c^{-k} : a_k \in \mathcal{A}_n \right\}.$

Definition (Mandelbrot set for collinear fractals)

 $\mathcal{M}_n := \left\{ c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^* : \mathbf{E}(c, n) \text{ is connected} \right\}.$

Definition (punctured open unit disk)

 $\mathbb{D}^* := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1 \}.$

Definition (collinear digit set)

Set of $n \ge 2$ integers evenly spaced from -n + 1 to n - 1, $\mathcal{A}_n := \{-n + 1, -n + 3, \dots, n - 3, n - 1\}.$

Definition (collinear fractal)

Self-similar set parameterized by $c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^*$, $\mathbf{E}(c, n) := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k c^{-k} : a_k \in \mathcal{A}_n \right\}.$

Definition (Mandelbrot set for collinear fractals)

 $\mathcal{M}_n := \left\{ c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^* : \mathbf{E}(c, n) \text{ is connected } \right\}.$

Definition (First-level piece) $\mathbf{E}_t(c,n) := t + \frac{\mathbf{E}(c,n)}{c} \text{ s.t. } t \in \mathcal{A}_n.$

Proposition (IFS)

The set E(c, n) is the attractor of the **iterated function system** consisting of n maps $z \mapsto t + z/c$ with $t \in A_n$, i.e.

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{n}) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{A}_n} \boldsymbol{E}_t(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{n})$$

Definition (collinear fractal)

Self-similar set parameterized by $c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^*$, $\mathbf{E}(c, n) := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k c^{-k} : a_k \in \mathcal{A}_n \right\}.$

Definition (Mandelbrot set for collinear fractals)

 $\mathcal{M}_n := \left\{ c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^* : \mathbf{E}(c, n) \text{ is connected} \right\}.$



Example (*n* = 3**)**

$$\mathbf{E}(c,3) := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k c^{-k} : a_k \in \{-2,0,2\} \right\}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\mathbf{E}(c,3)}{c} - 2 \right) \cup \left(\frac{\mathbf{E}(c,3)}{c} \right) \cup \left(\frac{\mathbf{E}(c,3)}{c} + 2 \right).$$





Fig. 5. The Mandelbrot set D is approximated in black. See text.

In 1985, Michael Barnsley and Andrew Harrington introduced the Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M}_2 for 2-gon fractals $\boldsymbol{E}(c, 2)$ as an analog of the Mandelbrot set for quadratic polynomials. Thirty years later, Danny Calegari, Sarah Koch, and Alden Walker (Section 6 in Roots, Schottky semigroups, and a proof of Bandt's conjecture, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.37, no.8 (2017), 2487-2555.) briefly investigated the set of differences of $E(c, 2), E(c, 3), E(c, 5), E(c, 9), \dots, E(c, 2^{k} + 1)$.

 $0.35 \le 1m \ s \le 0.45$

Lemma

The set of differences between points in **E**(c, $2^k + 1$) is

$$\boldsymbol{E}(c, 2^{k+1}+1) = \{q_1 - q_2 : q_1, q_2 \in \boldsymbol{E}(c, 2^k+1)\}$$

Proposition

$$\mathcal{M}_{2^{k}+1} = \bigg\{ c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^{*} : 2c \in \mathbf{E}(c, 2^{k+1}+1) \bigg\}.$$

What sequences of sets arise as iterated differences? What properties do these iterated IFS have?

-Calegari-Koch-Walker



- M.F.Barnsley and A.N. Harrington, A Mandelbrot set for pairs of linear maps, Physica 150 (1985) 421-432.
- I. Bousch, Paires de similitudes $z \rightarrow sz + 1, z \rightarrow sz 1$, Preprint (1988).
- T. Bousch, Sur auelaues problèmes de dynamique holomorphe, thèse de l'Université Paris-Sud, Orsay (1992).
- C. Bandt, On the Mandelbrot set for pairs of linear maps, Nonlinearity 15 (2002), no. 4, 1127-1147
- D. Calegari, S. Koch and A. Walker, Roots, Schottky semigroups, and a proof of Bandt's conjecture, Ergod. Th & Dynam. Sys.37, no.8 (2017), 2487-2555.
- Y. Himeki and Y. Ishii, M₄ is regular closed, Ergod. Th & Dynam. Sys. 40, no.1 (2020), 213-220.
- J. Hutchinson, Fractals and Self-Similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30, no. 5 (1981), 713-747.
- A. M. Odlyzko and B. Poonen, Zeros of polynomials with 0, 1 coefficients, L'Enseignement Math.39 (1993), 317-348.
- B. Solomyak, Conjugates of Beta-Numbers and the Zero-Free Domain for a Class of Analytic Functions, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, s3-68, 3 (1994), 477-498.
- B. Solomyak, Mandelbrot set for a pair of line maps : the local geometry, Anal. Theory Appl. 20 (2004), 149-157.
- B. Solomyak, On the 'Mandelbrot set' for pairs of linear maps : asymptotic self-similarity, Nonlinearity 18 (2005), 1927-1943.

Our main contributions: combinatorial code structure, inner stability, components for any n>1, collinear tiles, structure theorem for the boundary of Mn

- Silvestri, S. & Pérez, R. Accessibility of the Boundary of the Thurston Set. Experimental Mathematics. pp. 1-18 (2021)
- M. Hata, On the structure of self-similar sets, Japan J. Appl. Math. 2 (1985), 381-414.
- J. E. Hutchinson, Fractals and self-similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981), 713-747.
- K.-H. Indlekofer, A. Járai, and I. Kátai, On some properties of attractors generated by iterated function systems, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 60 (1995), 411-427.
- K. G. Hare, N. Sidorov, On a family of self-affine sets: topology, uniqueness, simultaneous expansions, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 37 (2017), 193-227.
- B. Solomyak Connectedness locus for pairs of affine maps and zeros of power series, J. Fractal Geom. (2) (2015) no.3 281-308.
- P. Shmerkin and B. Solomyak, Zeros of {-1, 0, 1} power series and connectedness loci for self-affine sets, Exp. Math. 15 (2006), 499-511.
- V. F. Sirvent and J. M. Thuswaldner, On the Fibonacci-Mandelbrot set, Indagationes Mathematicae 26.1 (2015), 174-190.
- B. Solomyak and H. Xu, On the 'Mandelbrot set' for a pair of linear maps and complex Bernoulli convolutions, Nonlinearity 16 (2003), 1733-1749.
- Y. Nakajima, Mandelbrot set for fractal n-gons and zeros of power series arXiv:2008.12915 [math.DS],2023.
- F. Beaucoup, P. Borwein, D. W. Boyd, and C. Pinner. Power series with restricted coefficients and a root on a given ray. Mathematics of Computation, 67(222):715-736, 1998.

Proposition $\mathcal{M}_n = \left\{ c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^* : 2c \in \boldsymbol{E}(c, 2n-1) \right\}$



Proof.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}(c,n) \text{ is connected if and only if its } n \text{ first-level pieces intersect pairwise, } \mathbf{E}_{t+2}(c,n) \cap \mathbf{E}_t(c,n) \neq \emptyset \text{ with } t+2, t \in \mathcal{A}_n. \text{ By self-similarity, } c(\mathbf{E}_{t+2}(c,n) \cap \mathbf{E}_t(c,n)) - t = (2c + \mathbf{E}(c,n)) \cap \mathbf{E}(c,n), \text{ so we have} \\ \mathcal{M}_n = \left\{ c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^* : \exists p_1, p_2 \in \mathbf{E}(c,n) \text{ s.t. } 2c + p_1 = p_2 \right\}. \\ \text{Now, since} \qquad (p_2 - p_1) \in \mathbf{E}(c, 2n - 1), \text{ it follows that } \mathcal{M}_n \text{ is the set of parameters } c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^* \text{ such that } 2c \in \mathbf{E}(c, 2n - 1). \end{split}$$



The Minkowski sum and geometric difference

 $\boldsymbol{E}(c,2n-1) = \boldsymbol{E}(c,n) \oplus \boldsymbol{E}(c,n)$

 $= \mathbf{E}(c, n) \ominus \mathbf{E}(c, n)$





 $\boldsymbol{E}(c,n)\oplus \boldsymbol{E}(c,n)$

E(*c*, 2*n*−1)

Definition (component $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ **)**

Let c_0 be a root of a polynomial q(z) of degree m with coefficients restricted to the integers from -n + 1 to n - 1, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. The *component* $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ is defined as the maximal connected open set containing c_0 , of parameter values c close to c_0 for which the following condition holds as $c_0 \rightarrow c$

$$q(c) \in \frac{\mathbf{P}(c,n)}{c}.$$

Theorem (inner stability) $\Omega(c_0, n, m) \subset int(\mathcal{M}_n).$

Our main result, the combinatorial code structure of \mathcal{M}_n , is based on the following discovery that applies to all $c \in \mathcal{M}_n$.

Lemma (inner parallelogram)

Let c = a + ib, if E(c, n) is connected, then its Minkowski sum, E(c, 2n - 1), contains $P(c, 2n - 1) = P(c, n) \oplus P(c, n) = 2P(c, n)$ where P(c, n) is the parametric region centered at 0 given either by the parallelogram

$$\mathbf{P}(c,n) := \left\{ x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |y| < \frac{|b|}{|c|^2}, -n + 1 - \frac{y \cdot a}{b} < x < n - 1 - \frac{y \cdot a}{b} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(c,n) := (1-n-|c^{-1}|, n-1+|c^{-1}|)$$



The Minkowski sum and geometric difference

 $\boldsymbol{E}(c,2n-1) = \boldsymbol{E}(c,n) \oplus \boldsymbol{E}(c,n)$

 $= \mathbf{E}(c, n) \ominus \mathbf{E}(c, n)$





 $\boldsymbol{E}(c,n)\oplus \boldsymbol{E}(c,n)$

E(*c*, 2*n*−1)

Definition (component $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ **)**

Let c_0 be a root of a polynomial q(z) of degree m with coefficients restricted to the integers from -n + 1 to n - 1, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. The *component* $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ is defined as the maximal connected open set containing c_0 , of parameter values c close to c_0 for which the following condition holds as $c_0 \rightarrow c$

$$q(c) \in \frac{\boldsymbol{P}(c,n)}{c}.$$

Theorem (inner stability) $\Omega(c_0, n, m) \subset int(\mathcal{M}_n).$

Our main result, the combinatorial code structure of \mathcal{M}_n , is based on the following discovery that applies to all $c \in \mathcal{M}_n$.

Lemma (inner parallelogram)

Let c = a + ib, if E(c, n) is connected, then its Minkowski sum, E(c, 2n - 1), contains $P(c, 2n - 1) = P(c, n) \oplus P(c, n) = 2P(c, n)$ where P(c, n) is the parametric region centered at 0 given either by the parallelogram

$$\mathbf{P}(c,n) := \left\{ x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |y| < \frac{|b|}{|c|^2}, -n + 1 - \frac{y \cdot a}{b} < x < n - 1 - \frac{y \cdot a}{b} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(c,n) := (1-n-|c^{-1}|, n-1+|c^{-1}|)$$



The Minkowski sum and geometric difference

 $\boldsymbol{E}(c,2n-1) = \boldsymbol{E}(c,n) \oplus \boldsymbol{E}(c,n)$

 $= \mathbf{E}(c, n) \ominus \mathbf{E}(c, n)$





E(c, 2n-1)

 $\boldsymbol{E}(c,n)\oplus \boldsymbol{E}(c,n)$

Definition (component $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ **)**

Let c_0 be a root of a polynomial q(z) of degree m with coefficients restricted to the integers from -n + 1 to n - 1, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. The *component* $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ is defined as the maximal connected open set containing c_0 , of parameter values c close to c_0 for which the following condition holds as $c_0 \rightarrow c$

$$q(c) \in \frac{\mathbf{P}(c,n)}{c}.$$

Theorem (inner stability) $\Omega(c_0, n, m) \subset int(\mathcal{M}_n).$

Our main result, the combinatorial code structure of \mathcal{M}_n , is based on the following discovery that applies to all $c \in \mathcal{M}_n$.

Lemma (inner parallelogram)

Let c = a + ib, if E(c, n) is connected, then its Minkowski sum, E(c, 2n - 1), contains $P(c, 2n - 1) = P(c, n) \oplus P(c, n) = 2P(c, n)$ where P(c, n) is the parametric region centered at 0 given either by the parallelogram

$$\mathbf{P}(c,n) := \left\{ x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |y| < \frac{|b|}{|c|^2}, -n + 1 - \frac{y \cdot a}{b} < x < n - 1 - \frac{y \cdot a}{b} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(c,n) := (1-n-|c^{-1}|, n-1+|c^{-1}|)$$



The Minkowski sum and geometric difference

 $\boldsymbol{E}(c,2n-1) = \boldsymbol{E}(c,n) \oplus \boldsymbol{E}(c,n)$

 $= \mathbf{E}(c, n) \ominus \mathbf{E}(c, n)$





E(c, 2n-1)

 $\boldsymbol{E}(c,n)\oplus \boldsymbol{E}(c,n)$

Definition (component $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ **)**

Let c_0 be a root of a polynomial q(z) of degree m with coefficients restricted to the integers from -n + 1 to n - 1, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. The *component* $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ is defined as the maximal connected open set containing c_0 , of parameter values c close to c_0 for which the following condition holds as $c_0 \rightarrow c$

$$q(c) \in rac{{m P}(c,n)}{c}$$

Theorem (inner stability) $\Omega(c_0, n, m) \subset int(\mathcal{M}_n).$

Theorem (parameters in $\partial \mathcal{M}_n$ **)**

For each $c \in \partial \mathcal{M}_n$ there exists a sequence $\{\Omega(c_0, n, m)\}_m$ of components of \mathcal{M}_n such that $c_0 \to c$ as $m \to \infty$.

Our main result, the combinatorial code structure of \mathcal{M}_n , is based on the following discovery that applies to all $c \in \mathcal{M}_n$.

Lemma (inner parallelogram)

Let c = a + ib, if E(c, n) is connected, then its Minkowski sum, E(c, 2n - 1), contains $P(c, 2n - 1) = P(c, n) \oplus P(c, n) = 2P(c, n)$ where P(c, n) is the parametric region centered at 0 given either by the parallelogram

$$\mathbf{P}(c,n) := \left\{ x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |y| < \frac{|b|}{|c|^2}, -n + 1 - \frac{y \cdot a}{b} < x < n - 1 - \frac{y \cdot a}{b} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(c,n) := (1-n-|c^{-1}|, n-1+|c^{-1}|)$$



Proposition

Let $\boldsymbol{E}(c, 2n-1, *)$ denote the set of all polynomials with coefficients in \mathcal{A}_{2n-1} . We have $\boldsymbol{E}(c, 2n-1) = \operatorname{clos}(\boldsymbol{E}(c, 2n-1, *))$.

Lemma

 $\mathcal{M}_n = \operatorname{clos}(\mathcal{M}_{n,0}),$ where

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,0} := \left\{ c^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^* : \quad 2c \in \mathbf{E}(c, 2n-1, *) \right\}.$$

Corollary $(\mathcal{M}_n \setminus \mathbb{R}$ **is regular-closed**) The interior of \mathcal{M}_n is dense away from $\mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathbb{R}$, that is,

 $\operatorname{clos}(\operatorname{int}(\mathcal{M}_n)) \cup (\mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{M}_n.$

Definition (component $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ **)**

Let $c_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{n,0}$ be a root of a polynomial q(z) of degree m with coefficients restricted to the integers from -n + 1 to n - 1, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_n \cup \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$. The *component* $\Omega(c_0, n, m)$ is defined as the maximal connected open set containing c_0 , of parameter values c close to c_0 for which the following condition holds as $c_0 \rightarrow c$

$$q(c) \in rac{{m P}(c,n)}{c}$$

Theorem (inner stability) $\Omega(c_0, n, m) \subset int(\mathcal{M}_n).$

Theorem (parameters in $\partial \mathcal{M}_n$ **)**

For each $c \in \partial \mathcal{M}_n$ there exists a sequence $\{\Omega(c_0, n, m)\}_m$ of components of \mathcal{M}_n such that $c_0 \to c$ as $m \to \infty$.





 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{Lemma} \\ \mathcal{M}_n \subset \mathcal{M}_{n+1} \end{array}$

Proposition (nested components) $\Omega(c_0, n, m) \subset \Omega(c_0, n + 1, m)$ Lemma (n-fold iterated sumset)

$$\mathbf{E}(c,n) = \left\{ p_1 + p_2 + \ldots + p_{n-1} : p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{n-1} \in \mathbf{E}(c,2) \right\}.$$

$$\mathbf{Corollary (dilated set)}$$

$$\mathbf{E}(c,n+1) = \mathbf{E}(c,n) \oplus \mathbf{E}(c,2)$$





1/2 1





Theorem (self-affine tiles)

Let

$$c=\frac{a}{2}+\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{4b+a-2\left\lfloor\frac{a+1}{2}\right\rfloor},$$

where $a \ge 0$ and $b \ge 1$ are a pair of integers. If $|c| = \sqrt{n}$ then $\mathbf{E}(c, n)$ is a planar self-affine tile with a collinear digit set.

Shigeki Akiyama, Benoît Loridant, and Jörg Thuswaldner, Topology of planar self-affine tiles with collinear digit set, J. Fractal Geom., 8 (2021), no. 1, pp. 53–93.

Lemma

 $\sqrt{n} \leq |c| \leq n$ for all $n \geq 2$ and $c \in \partial \mathcal{M}_n$, with equality achieved for $c = \pm i\sqrt{n}$ and $c = \pm n$ respectively.

Lemma
$$\sqrt{n} \leq |c| < 1 + \sqrt{n-1}$$
 for all $n \geq 2$ and $c \in \partial \mathcal{M}_n \setminus \mathbb{R}$.



Lemma

 $\sqrt{n} \leq |c| \leq n$ for all $n \geq 2$ and $c \in \partial \mathcal{M}_n$, with equality achieved for $c = \pm i\sqrt{n}$ and $c = \pm n$ respectively.

Lemma

$$\sqrt{n} \leq |c| < 1 + \sqrt{n-1}$$
 for all $n \geq 2$ and $c \in \partial \mathcal{M}_n \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma (convex hull)

The convex hull of $\boldsymbol{E}(c, n)$, denoted by $\boldsymbol{H}(c, n) := \operatorname{Conv}(\boldsymbol{E}(c, n))$, is the set of power series with real coefficients in $\boldsymbol{I}_n := [-n + 1, n - 1]$,

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{n}) = \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \boldsymbol{c}^{-k} : a_k \in \boldsymbol{I}_n\right\}.$$



Definition

Let \mathcal{M}_n^* be the complement of the zero-free domain of

$$\mathcal{H}_n := \left\{ h(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k : a_k \in \mathbf{I}_n \right\}.$$
(1)

$$\mathfrak{M}_n^* := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{D}^* : \exists h(z) \in \mathfrak{H}_n \text{ such that } h(\lambda) = 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma

$$\mathcal{M}_n \subset \mathcal{M}_n^*$$
.

 F. Beaucoup, P. Borwein, D. W. Boyd, and C. Pinner.
 Power series with restricted coefficients and a root on a given ray.
 Mathematics of Computation, 67(222):715–736, 1998.

Hausdorff dimension of collinear fractals for the accessible boundary of the Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M}_2

youtu.be/dW4JOW-wj-Q







 $c = 1.442 + i 0.242 \quad \dim_H \approx 1.825$



 $c = 1.395 + i0.484 \quad \dim_H \approx 1.779$







 $c = 1.095 + i 0.975 \quad \dim_H \approx 1.813$









 $c = 1.476 + i 0.452 \quad \dim_H \approx 1.598$



 $c = 1.423 + i 0.639 \quad \dim_H \approx 1.560$



 $c = 1.226 + i 0.918 \quad \dim_H \approx 1.627$



 $c = 0.680 + i 1.371 \quad \dim_H \approx 1.630$



 $c = 0.232 + i 1.471 \quad \dim_H \approx 1.742$





- M.F.Barnsley and A.N. Harrington, A Mandelbrot set for pairs of linear maps, Physica 150 (1985) 421-432.
- T. Bousch, Paires de similitudes $z \rightarrow sz + 1, z \rightarrow sz 1$, Preprint (1988).
- T. Bousch, Sur quelques problèmes de dynamique holomorphe, thèse de l'Université Paris-Sud, Orsay (1992).
- C. Bandt, On the Mandelbrot set for pairs of linear maps, Nonlinearity 15 (2002), no. 4, 1127–1147
- D. Calegari, S. Koch and A. Walker, Roots, Schottky semigroups, and a proof of Bandt's conjecture, Ergod. Th & Dynam. Sys.37, no.8 (2017), 2487-2555.
- I Y. Himeki and Y. Ishii, M₄ is regular closed, Ergod. Th & Dynam. Sys. 40, no.1 (2020), 213-220.
- J. Hutchinson, Fractals and Self-Similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30, no. 5 (1981), 713-747.
- A. M. Odlyzko and B. Poonen, Zeros of polynomials with 0, 1 coefficients, L'Enseignement Math.39 (1993), 317-348.
- B. Solomyak, Conjugates of Beta-Numbers and the Zero-Free Domain for a Class of Analytic Functions, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, s3-68, 3 (1994), 477–498.
- B. Solomyak, Mandelbrot set for a pair of line maps : the local geometry, Anal. Theory Appl. 20 (2004), 149-157.
- B. Solomyak, On the 'Mandelbrot set' for pairs of linear maps : asymptotic self-similarity, Nonlinearity 18 (2005), 1927-1943.

Our main contributions: combinatorial code structure, inner stability, components for any n>1, collinear tiles, structure theorem for the boundary of Mn

- Silvestri, S. & Pérez, R. Accessibility of the Boundary of the Thurston Set. *Experimental Mathematics*. pp. 1-18 (2021)
- M. Hata, On the structure of self-similar sets, Japan J. Appl. Math. 2 (1985), 381–414.
- J. E. Hutchinson, Fractals and self-similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981), 713–747.
- K.-H. Indlekofer, A. Járai, and I. Kátai, On some properties of attractors generated by iterated function systems, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 60 (1995), 411–427.
- K. G. Hare, N. Sidorov, On a family of self-affine sets: topology, uniqueness, simultaneous expansions, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 37 (2017), 193–227.
- B. Solomyak Connectedness locus for pairs of affine maps and zeros of power series, J. Fractal Geom. (2) (2015) no.3 281-308.
- P. Shmerkin and B. Solomyak, Zeros of {-1, 0, 1} power series and connectedness loci for self-affine sets, Exp. Math. 15 (2006), 499-511.
- V. F. Sirvent and J. M. Thuswaldner, *On the Fibonacci-Mandelbrot set*, Indagationes Mathematicae 26.1 (2015), 174-190.
- B. Solomyak and H. Xu, On the 'Mandelbrot set' for a pair of linear maps and complex Bernoulli convolutions, Nonlinearity 16 (2003), 1733-1749.
- Y. Nakajima, Mandelbrot set for fractal n-gons and zeros of power series arXiv:2008.12915 [math.DS], 2023.
- F. Beaucoup, P. Borwein, D. W. Boyd, and C. Pinner. Power series with restricted coefficients and a root on a given ray. Mathematics of Computation, 67(222):715–736, 1998.



Universitat de Girona Departament d'Informàtica, Matemàtica Aplicada i Estadística PhD supervisors: Joan Saldaña and David Juher, Differential Equations, Modelling and Applications Group